

## DHAP-IKE Status Report

Data as of 1/10/2011

Referral Round #	Date of FEMA Referral	# of Families Referred	Records being researched for current HUD Assistance	Katrina Assisted Families (Not Loaded as DHAP-IKE)	# of Families Loaded into DIS	# of Families to be Reconciled	# of Families that did not Participate	% of Families that did not Participate	# of Families that were EOP'd	% of Families that were EOP'd	Total # of Families EOP'd or did not Participate	% of Total Families EOP'd or did not Participate
1	10/15/2008	289	0	1	288	0	101	35%	151	52%	252	87%
2	10/17/2008	1,142	0	0	1,142	0	517	45%	560	49%	1,077	94%
3	10/21/2008	2,826	0	11	2,815	0	981	35%	1,433	51%	2,414	85%
4	10/24/2008	2,380	0	6	2,374	0	776	33%	1,329	56%	2,105	88%
5	10/28/2008	2,607	0	8	2,599	0	973	37%	1,317	51%	2,290	88%
6	10/31/2008	17,953	0	47	17,906	0	9,134	51%	6,875	38%	16,009	89%
7	11/4/2008	2,524	0	7	2,517	0	1,220	48%	1,026	41%	2,246	89%
8	11/7/2008	748	0	3	745	0	372	50%	306	41%	678	91%
9	11/10/2008	640	0	0	640	0	329	51%	238	37%	567	89%
10	11/14/2008	2,084	0	9	2,075	0	1,131	54%	748	36%	1,879	90%
11	11/17/2008	3,879	0	5	3,874	0	2,279	59%	1,273	33%	3,552	92%
12	11/20/2008	921	0	3	918	0	521	57%	326	35%	847	92%
13	11/24/2008	791	0	2	789	0	403	51%	298	38%	701	89%
14	12/1/2008	1,600	0	13	1,587	0	770	48%	644	40%	1,414	88%
15	12/4/2008	1,257	0	4	1,253	0	668	53%	468	37%	1,136	90%
16	12/8/2008	507	0	5	502	0	291	57%	159	31%	450	89%
17	12/11/2008	532	0	1	531	0	283	53%	191	36%	474	89%
18	12/15/2008	366	0	2	364	0	198	54%	128	35%	326	89%
19	12/22/2008	344	0	1	343	0	209	61%	97	28%	306	89%
20	12/22/2008	261	0	0	261	0	141	54%	90	34%	231	89%
21	2/2/2009	5,019	0	26	4,993	0	3,134	62%	1,396	28%	4,530	90%
22	2/11/2009	590	0	9	581	0	353	60%	186	32%	539	91%
23	2/17/2009	247	0	2	245	0	169	68%	48	19%	217	88%
24	2/24/2009	339	0	2	337	0	210	62%	94	28%	304	90%
25	3/3/2009	348	0	3	345	0	208	60%	100	29%	308	89%
26	3/10/2009	251	0	4	247	0	139	55%	82	33%	221	88%
27	3/17/2009	183	0	0	183	0	100	55%	60	33%	160	87%
28	3/24/2009	110	0	0	110	0	55	50%	43	39%	98	89%
29	3/31/2009	121	0	2	119	0	63	52%	41	34%	104	86%
30	4/7/2009	71	0	0	71	0	38	54%	22	31%	60	85%
31	4/15/2009	91	0	0	91	0	43	47%	29	32%	72	79%
32	4/21/2009	65	0	1	64	0	29	45%	25	38%	54	83%
33	4/28/2009	44	0	0	44	0	23	52%	17	39%	40	91%
34	5/5/2009	46	0	0	46	0	27	59%	14	30%	41	89%
35	5/12/2009	23	0	0	23	0	9	39%	8	35%	17	74%
36	5/19/2009	27	0	0	27	0	12	44%	10	37%	22	81%
37	5/26/2009	18	0	0	18	0	6	33%	7	39%	13	72%
38	6/2/2009	21	0	1	20	0	8	38%	11	52%	19	90%
39	6/9/2009	84	0	0	84	0	67	80%	11	13%	78	93%
40	6/19/2009	32	0	0	32	0	24	75%	7	22%	31	97%
41	6/23/2009	122	0	0	122	0	90	74%	22	18%	112	92%
42	6/30/2009	14	0	0	14	0	6	43%	5	36%	11	79%
43	7/7/2009	17	0	1	16	0	9	53%	4	24%	13	76%
44	7/15/2009	13	0	0	13	0	7	54%	2	15%	9	69%
45	7/21/2009	15	0	0	15	0	9	60%	3	20%	12	80%
46	7/28/2009	4	0	0	4	0	1	25%	3	75%	4	100%
47	8/4/2009	8	0	1	7	0	4	50%	2	25%	6	75%
48	8/11/2009	37	0	0	37	0	28	76%	6	16%	34	92%
49	8/18/2009	5	0	0	5	0	1	20%	2	40%	3	60%
50	8/25/2009	6	0	0	6	0	2	33%	2	33%	4	67%
51	9/1/2009	2	0	0	2	0	0	0%	2	100%	2	100%
52	9/8/2009	3	0	0	3	0	3	100%	0	0%	3	100%
53	9/15/2009	4	0	0	4	0	0	0%	3	75%	3	75%
54	9/22/2009	59	0	0	59	0	56	95%	2	3%	58	98%
55	9/29/2009	4	0	1	3	0	2	50%	1	25%	3	75%
56	10/6/2009	6	0	1	5	0	2	33%	2	33%	4	67%
57	10/13/2009	15	0	0	15	0	8	53%	5	33%	13	87%
58	10/20/2009	22	0	2	20	0	16	73%	4	18%	20	91%
59	10/27/2009	17	0	0	17	0	13	76%	1	6%	14	82%
60	11/3/2009	17	0	1	16	0	14	82%	1	6%	15	88%
61	11/10/2009	3	0	0	3	0	1	33%	1	33%	2	67%
62	11/17/2009	10	0	0	10	0	10	100%	0	0%	10	100%
63	11/24/2009	16	0	0	16	0	15	94%	0	0%	15	94%
64	12/2/2009	18	0	0	18	0	18	100%	0	0%	18	100%
65	12/8/2009	12	0	0	12	0	12	100%	0	0%	12	100%
66	12/15/2009	15	0	0	15	0	15	100%	0	0%	15	100%
67	12/22/2009	4	0	0	4	0	3	75%	1	25%	4	100%
68	12/29/2009	1	0	0	1	0	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
69	1/5/2010	1	0	0	1	0	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
73	2/16/2010	2	0	0	2	0	1	50%	0	0%	1	50%
74	3/2/2010	1	0	0	1	0	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
75	3/10/2010	2	0	0	2	0	0	0%	0	0%	0	0%
76	3/11/2010	1	0	0	1	0	1	100%	0	0%	1	100%
<b>Total:</b>		<b>51,857</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>185</b>	<b>51,672</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>26,364</b>	<b>51%</b>	<b>19,942</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>46,306</b>	<b>89%</b>

## DHAP-IKE Payment Status Report

Data as of 1/10/2011

Case Scenario	Family Contacted	Family Agreed to Case Management	DRSC Signed with Landlord	Totals <sup>2</sup>	% of Families in Process	% of Total	Weekly % Change
A	X	X	X	5,342	10.34%	10.34%	↓0.41%
B	X	X		4	0.01%	0.01%	0.00%
C	X		X	0	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
D	X			1	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%
E (In Process - Transitioning to DHAP)				19	0.04%	0.04%	0.00%
EOP's & families that did not participate	N/A	N/A	N/A	46,306	89.62%	89.62%	↑0.05%
	<b>Grand Total<sup>1</sup></b>			<b>51,672</b>			
F	Remaining total of DHAP IKE families loaded into DIS but have not yet been assigned to a PHA.			0		0.00%	0.00%
<b>Total Families Referred by FEMA for DHAP IKE loaded into DIS</b>				<b>51,672</b>			<b>0.00%</b>

<sup>1</sup> The total number of families assigned to PHAs is 40,142. There are 11,530 families that did not agree to participate prior to assignment to a PHA. The sum of all families assigned and those that did not agree to participate before assignment equals 51,672. Additionally, there are no families that are assigned to a non-participating PHA that are currently being researched.

<sup>2</sup> The total number of families serviced by DHAP-Ike at any point in the process is 17,551.

**Total Family Assignments by PHAs with Grant Agreements - DHAP IKE**

Data as of 1/10/2011

PHA Code	HA Name	Total Families Assigned	# of Families Assessed for Continued Eligibility	# of Families Determined Eligible	% of Families Determined Eligible	Case A	Case A Weekly % Change	Case A Initial Average HAP	Case B	Case C	Case D	Case E	# of Families that did not Participate	# of Families EOP'd	Total # of Families EOP'd or did not Participate	% of Families in Process
<b>LOUISIANA</b>																
LA889	Pilgrim Rest Community Development Agency	3,535	438	430	98.17%	439	↓0.23%	\$619.00	0	0	0	5	1,405	1,686	3,091	100%
LA003	Housing Authority of East Baton Rouge	1,786	308	307	99.68%	308	0.00%	\$786.00	1	0	1	2	670	804	1,474	100%
LA211	Terrebonne PH Consol. Govt., Federal Programs Division	1,198	87	87	100.00%	89	0.00%	\$672.00	2	0	0	0	751	356	1,107	100%
LA207	Tangipahoa Parish Council	910	133	133	100.00%	139	0.00%	\$628.00	0	0	0	1	369	401	770	100%
LA001	Housing Authority of New Orleans	849	337	336	99.70%	337	↓3.71%	\$935.00	0	0	0	0	234	278	512	100%
LA013	Housing Authority of Jefferson Parish	766	202	202	100.00%	202	0.00%	\$951.00	0	0	0	1	333	230	563	100%
LA005	Housing Authority of the City of Lafayette	540	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$554.00	0	0	0	0	231	309	540	100%
LA172	Calcasieu Parish Police Jury	444	18	18	100.00%	18	0.00%	\$614.00	0	0	0	0	269	157	426	100%
LA004	Housing Authority of Lake Charles	393	11	11	100.00%	11	0.00%	\$638.00	0	0	0	1	241	140	381	100%
LA204	West Baton Rouge Parish Council	392	24	24	100.00%	24	↓7.69%	\$594.00	0	0	0	0	259	109	368	100%
LA009	Ascension Parish Section 8 Program	309	18	18	100.00%	18	↓5.26%	\$745.00	0	0	0	0	217	74	291	100%
LA104	Housing Authority of Hammond	305	77	77	100.00%	77	0.00%	\$636.00	0	0	0	0	70	158	228	100%
LA101	Housing Authority of the City of Denham Springs	182	9	9	100.00%	9	0.00%	\$775.00	0	0	0	0	98	75	173	100%
LA094	Housing Authority of St. Charles Parish	161	35	34	97.14%	35	↑2.94%	\$877.00	0	0	0	0	73	53	126	100%
LA171	Ouachita Parish Police Jury	145	18	18	100.00%	19	0.00%	\$528.00	0	0	0	0	93	33	126	100%
LA132	Avoyelles Parish Police Jury	103	8	8	100.00%	8	0.00%	\$472.00	0	0	0	0	60	35	95	100%
LA006	Housing Authority of Monroe	81	9	9	100.00%	9	0.00%	\$544.00	0	0	0	0	39	33	72	100%
LA103	Housing Authority of City of Slidell	73	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$846.00	0	0	0	0	46	27	73	100%
LA192	City of Ville Platte	61	28	28	100.00%	28	0.00%	\$540.00	0	0	0	0	16	17	33	100%
LA182	Evangeline Parish Police Jury	45	8	8	100.00%	8	0.00%	\$480.00	0	0	0	0	22	15	37	100%
LA002	Housing Authority of Shreveport	14	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$671.00	0	0	0	0	4	9	13	100%
LA074	Housing Authority of Sabine Parish	10	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$350.00	0	0	0	0	9	1	10	100%
LA190	Bossier Parish Section 8	5	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$608.00	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>12,307</b>	<b>1,769</b>	<b>1,758</b>	<b>99.38%</b>	<b>1,779</b>	<b>↓0.89%</b>		<b>3</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>5,510</b>	<b>5,004</b>	<b>10,514</b>	<b>100%</b>
<b>TEXAS</b>																
TX017	Housing Authority of the City of Galveston	6,943	1,220	1,220	100.00%	1,222	0.00%	\$857.00	0	0	0	0	1,568	4,153	5,721	100%
TX441	Harris County Housing Authority	6,094	944	917	97.14%	946	↑0.21%	\$777.00	0	0	0	1	1,777	3,370	5,147	100%
TX005	Houston Housing Authority	5,726	535	530	99.07%	537	0.00%	\$762.00	0	0	0	1	1,986	3,202	5,188	100%
TX034	Housing Authority of Port Arthur	3,587	351	347	98.86%	358	↑0.28%	\$695.00	0	0	0	1	1,665	1,563	3,228	100%
TX999	Harris County - NO GA Families	1,665	96	89	92.71%	98	↓7.55%	\$749.00	1	0	0	3	1,065	498	1,563	100%
TX012	Housing Authority of the City of Baytown	917	55	55	100.00%	55	0.00%	\$705.00	0	0	0	0	234	628	862	100%
TX512	Deep East Texas Council of Governments	650	46	46	100.00%	52	0.00%	\$602.00	0	0	0	1	268	329	597	100%
TX560	Housing Authority of Montgomery County	529	56	56	100.00%	56	0.00%	\$763.00	0	0	0	0	169	304	473	100%
TX505	Liberty County Housing Authority	425	27	27	100.00%	27	0.00%	\$626.00	0	0	0	0	184	214	398	100%
TX440	City of Pasadena Housing Assistance Program	304	70	70	100.00%	70	0.00%	\$668.00	0	0	0	0	77	157	234	100%
TX001	Austin Housing Authority	136	40	40	100.00%	40	0.00%	\$760.00	0	0	0	0	25	71	96	100%
TX006	San Antonio Housing Authority	116	15	15	100.00%	16	0.00%	\$670.00	0	0	0	1	30	69	99	99%
TX009	Housing Authority of the City of Dallas, Texas	92	20	20	100.00%	20	0.00%	\$771.00	0	0	0	0	24	48	72	100%
TX526	Brazos Valley Council of Governments	62	3	3	100.00%	3	0.00%	\$624.00	0	0	0	0	22	37	59	100%
TX483	Housing Authority of the City of Rosenberg	55	5	5	100.00%	5	0.00%	\$853.00	0	0	0	0	12	38	50	100%
TX482	Central Texas Council of Governments	44	3	3	100.00%	3	0.00%	\$562.00	0	0	0	0	25	16	41	100%
TX008	Corpus Christi Housing Authority	38	9	9	100.00%	9	0.00%	\$746.00	0	0	0	0	16	13	29	100%
TX486	Housing Authority of the City of Nacogdoches	36	2	2	100.00%	2	0.00%	\$604.00	0	0	0	0	11	23	34	100%
TX433	Arlington Housing Authority	32	12	12	100.00%	12	0.00%	\$791.00	0	0	0	0	7	13	20	100%
TX010	Housing Authority of the City of Waco	25	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$610.00	0	0	0	0	19	6	25	100%
TX004	Housing Authority of Fort Worth	24	3	3	100.00%	3	0.00%	\$833.00	0	0	0	0	11	10	21	100%
TX128	Housing Authority of Plano	18	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$828.00	0	0	0	0	5	13	18	100%
TX516	Jacksonville Housing Authority	18	2	2	100.00%	2	0.00%	\$587.00	0	0	0	0	6	10	16	100%
TX459	Longview Housing Authority	16	3	3	100.00%	3	0.00%	\$647.00	0	0	0	0	5	8	13	100%
TX087	San Marcos Housing Authority	15	4	4	100.00%	5	0.00%	\$777.00	0	0	0	0	9	1	10	100%
TX343	New Braunfels Housing Authority	14	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$988.00	0	0	0	0	10	4	14	100%
TX435	Garland Housing Authority	14	4	4	100.00%	4	0.00%	\$762.00	0	0	0	0	2	8	10	100%
TX431	Tarrant County Housing Assistance Office	13	3	3	100.00%	3	0.00%	\$881.00	0	0	0	0	1	9	10	100%
TX480	Travis County Housing Authority	11	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$649.00	0	0	0	0	3	8	11	100%
TX434	Grand Prairie Housing & Neighborhood Services	11	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$718.00	0	0	0	0	2	9	11	100%
TX457	Housing Authority of Marshall	10	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$725.00	0	0	0	0	8	2	10	100%
TX264	Georgetown Housing Authority	9	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$853.00	0	0	0	0	4	4	8	100%
TX025	San Benito HA	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$565.00	0	0	0	0	1	3	4	100%
TX327	Housing Authority of Abilene	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$660.00	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	100%
TX458	Grayson County Housing Authority	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$653.00	0	0	0	0	2	2	4	100%
TX174	Sinton HA	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	100%
TX436	Mesquite	3	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$732.00	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	100%
TX352	Housing Authority of Livingston	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>27,670</b>	<b>3,530</b>	<b>3,487</b>	<b>98.78%</b>	<b>3,553</b>	<b>↓0.14%</b>		<b>1</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>8</b>	<b>9,261</b>	<b>14,847</b>	<b>24,108</b>	<b>100%</b>

**Total Family Assignments by PHAs with Grant Agreements - DHAP IKE**

Data as of 1/10/2011

PHA Code	HA Name	Total Families Assigned	# of Families Assessed for Continued Eligibility	# of Families Determined Eligible	% of Families Determined Eligible	Case A	Case A Weekly % Change	Case A Initial Average HAP	Case B	Case C	Case D	Case E	# of Families that did not Participate	# of Families EOP'd	Total # of Families EOP'd or did not Participate	% of Families in Process
<b>REST OF UNITED STATES</b>																
OK901	Oklahoma Housing Finance Agency	22	0	0	0.00%	1	0.00%	\$616.00	0	0	0	0	3	18	21	100%
GA901	Georgia Residential Finance	18	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$776.00	0	0	0	0	3	15	18	100%
GA264	Housing Authority of Fulton County	10	2	2	100.00%	2	133.33%	\$963.00	0	0	0	0	5	3	8	100%
GA237	Housing Authority of the County of DeKalb, GA	8	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$858.00	0	0	0	0	2	6	8	100%
OK005	Housing Authority of the City of Lawton	7	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$480.00	0	0	0	0	3	4	7	100%
WA008	Housing Authority of the City of Vancouver	7	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$675.00	0	0	0	0	6	1	7	100%
AR181	Fayetteville Housing Authority	6	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$590.00	0	0	0	0	1	5	6	100%
AR252	Pulaski County Housing Agency	5	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$552.00	0	0	0	0	3	2	5	100%
OK002	Housing Authority of the City of Oklahoma City	5	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$596.00	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	100%
TN001	Memphis Housing Authority	5	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$600.00	0	0	0	0	1	4	5	100%
CA108	Housing Authority of the County of San Diego	5	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$990.00	0	0	0	0	2	3	5	100%
CA004	Housing Authority of the City of Los Angeles	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	100%
CO034	Loveland Housing Authority	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$834.00	0	0	0	0	3	1	4	100%
MS109	The Housing Authority of the City of Long Beach	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	4	0	4	100%
AR016	Camden Housing Authority	4	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$470.00	0	0	0	0	0	4	4	100%
AR197	White River Regional Housing Authority	3	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$584.00	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	100%
GA232	Housing Authority of the City of College Park	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	100%
NM064	Region IV Housing Authority	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	3	0	3	100%
CA011	County of Contra Costa Housing Authority	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$1,000.00	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	100%
CA007	County of Sacramento Housing Authority	3	2	2	100.00%	2	0.00%	\$825.00	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
NC144	Eastern Carolina Human Services Agency, Inc.	3	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$556.00	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	67%
KY901	Kentucky Housing Corporation-State Agency	3	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$450.00	0	0	0	0	2	1	3	100%
AR003	The Housing Authority of the City of Fort Smith	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$738.00	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	100%
FL024	Ormond Beach Housing Authority	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$635.00	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	100%
FL030	Housing Authority of the County of Flagler	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	100%
FL066	Hialeah Housing Authority	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$1,090.00	0	0	0	0	0	2	2	100%
GA095	Housing Authority of the City of Newnan	2	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$650.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
NM063	Region VI HA of New Mexico	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	2	0	2	100%
NV905	Nevada Rural Hsg Auth	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$600.00	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	100%
OK139	Housing Authority of the City of Norman	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	100%
TN007	Jackson Housing Authority	2	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$590.00	0	0	0	0	1	1	2	100%
IL002	Chicago Housing Authority	1	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$750.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100%
NM009	Santa Fe Civic Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$750.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
PA006	Allegheny County Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
AR068	Hope Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$380.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
IA020	Des Moines Municipal Housing Agency	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$1,100.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
MO212	Ripley County HA	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
TN020	Murfreesboro Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$625.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
UT002	Housing Authority of the City of Ogden	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
UT004	Housing Authority of Salt Lake City	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	100%
CA094	County of Orange Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$2,500.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
MS301	Bay Waveland HA	1	1	1	100.00%	1	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	100%
VA012	Chesapeake Redevelopment & Housing Authority	1	0	0	0.00%	0	0.00%	\$0.00	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	100%
	<b>Total</b>	<b>165</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>100.00%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>9.09%</b>		<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>154</b>	<b>99%</b>
	<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>40,142</b>	<b>5,308</b>	<b>5,254</b>	<b>98.98%</b>	<b>5,342</b>	<b>0.41%</b>		<b>4</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>14,834</b>	<b>19,942</b>	<b>34,776</b>	<b>100%</b>

<sup>1</sup> LA238 has rescinded from DHAP-IKE program - 32 families were transferred to LA013

## DHAP-IKE EOP or Did Not Participate by Reason

Data as of 1/10/2011

EOP	# of Families EOP'd	# of Families that did not Participate	Total # of Families EOP'd or did not Participate	% of Families EOP'd or did not Participate
DEDHOH - Deceased Head of Household	100	26	126	0.27%
DUPAST - Family receiving duplicate assistance	35	1,212	1,247	2.69%
FEDICA - Family Not Eligible for DHAP-Ike Continued Assistance	3,310	22	3,332	7.20%
FNAPDU - Family does not agree to move to Pre-Disaster Unit	45	4	49	0.11%
FRVAPA - Family Received Vacancy Payment	137	3	140	0.30%
NOCASE - Family does not agree to Case Management	170	87	257	0.56%
NOCNCT - Unable to contact family	1,084	3,344	4,428	9.56%
NOMVHQ - Family does not agree to move to HQS Compliant Unit	197	7	204	0.44%
NOMVLD - Family does not agree to move to unit with landlord	438	98	536	1.16%
OTHER - Please refer to comment field	37	6	43	0.09%
PMHSNG - Placed in Permanent Housing	1,238	569	1,807	3.90%
REFDAS - Refused Disaster Assistance <sup>1,2</sup>	6,140	20,202	26,342	56.89%
REOSET - REO Settlement	3	3	6	0.01%
RETHOM - Return Home	1,242	767	2,009	4.34%
TAVFO - Termination of Assistance for Violation of Family Obligation	5,766	14	5,780	12.48%
<b>Total</b>	<b>19,942</b>	<b>26,364</b>	<b>46,306</b>	<b>100.00%</b>

<sup>1</sup> Hotel Cases: Automatic Mass EOP requested by FEMA - 605 records on 03/17/2009

<sup>2</sup> Case F Called 3x: Automatic Mass EOP - 12,405 records

**DHAP-IKE RETURN REFERRAL and END of PARTICIPATION SUMMARY**

Data as of 1/10/2011

<b>Total number of families referred by FEMA for DHAP-Ike assistance</b>		<b>51,857</b>
<b>Total number of families referred back to FEMA because family did not participate in DHAP-Ike<sup>1</sup></b>		<b>26,549</b>
Percent of referred families that did not participate in DHAP-Ike		51%
<b>Total number of families referred back to FEMA that were homeowners before Hurricanes Ike and Gustav</b>	<b>12,627</b>	
Percent of families referred back to FEMA that were homeowners before Hurricanes Ike and Gustav	48%	
Percent of all homeowners (19,877) referred to HUD	64%	
<b>Total number of families referred to HUD that are being contacted by HUD or the PHA for participation in DHAP-Ike<sup>2</sup></b>		<b>20</b>
Percentage of families referred to HUD that are being contacted by HUD or the PHA for participation in DHAP-Ike		0%
<b>Total number of families that agreed to participate in DHAP-Ike after referral from FEMA<sup>3</sup></b>		<b>25,288</b>
Percent of referred families that agreed to participate		49%
<b>Total number of families currently under DRSC (Case A Families)</b>	<b>5,342</b>	
Percent of families in Case A	21%	
<b>Total number of families that agreed to participate and are working to execute a DRSC (Case B Families)</b>	<b>4</b>	
Percent of families in Case B	0%	
<b>Total number of families that ended their participation (EOP) with DHAP-Ike or were terminated for cause by PHAs</b>	<b>19,942</b>	
Percent of families that were EOP'd or terminated for cause by PHAs after agreeing to participate	79%	
Number of families ineligible to receive DHAP-IKE assistance due to Continuing Eligibility	3,310	
Percent of families ineligible to receive DHAP-IKE assistance due to Continuing Eligibility	17%	

<sup>1</sup> # of families that did not participate + 187 families that were not load into DIS under DHAP-Ike (See *DHAP-Ike Status Report*)

<sup>2</sup> Case C, D, E and F families

<sup>3</sup> Case A, B, and # of families that were EOP'd

**Disaster Housing Assistance-Ike (DHAP-Ike)**  
**Data Run January 11, 2011, for Obligations Week Ending January 11, 2011,**  
**and Payments Month of January 2011**

Agreements Received/Executed	117
Agreements Funded	117
Families Under GA and Assigned	<b>40,142</b>
<b>Percentage of Families Assisted</b>	<b>77.69%</b>
Obligations Weekly Total	\$0
<b>Program Start-to-Date Obligations Total</b>	<b>\$275,728,395</b>
<b>Total Program Funds Allotment</b>	<b>\$281,723,203</b>
<b>Percentage of Total Funds Obligated of Allotment</b>	<b>97.87%</b>
Monthly Disbursements Total	\$640,512
<b>Program Net Disbursements</b>	<b>\$270,631,818</b>

**DHAP-Ike CASE MANAGEMENT - (Caseload and Demographics)<sup>1</sup>**  
**Efforts to Outcomes (ETO) Data as of 01/11/2011**

<b>ACTIVE CASES Assigned to a PHA (Heads of Household)</b>	<b>5,419</b>	
Heads of household assigned to a case manager	5,398	99.6%
DRSCs executed	5,388	99.4%
Heads of household age 62 and over	519	9.6%
Heads of household self-reporting disability	1,596	29.5%
<i>Elderly disabled (=&gt;62)</i>	275	5.1%
<i>Non-elderly disabled (&lt;62)</i>	1,321	24.4%
Hardship waivers	5,156	95.1%
Total number of adult family members (ages 18+) currently receiving services <sup>2</sup>	5,480	
Case managers	272	
Heads of household to case manager ratio	20	
<b>ACTIVE CASES Not Assigned to a PHA (Heads of Household)</b>	<b>2</b>	
<b>CLOSED CASES (Heads of Household)<sup>3</sup></b>	<b>46,249</b>	
Deceased Head of Household	125	0.3%
Family Receiving Duplicate Assistance	1,242	2.7%
Family Not Eligible for DHAP-Ike Continued Assistance	3,283	7.1%
Family does not agree to move to Pre-Disaster Unit	48	0.1%
Family Received Vacancy Payment	140	0.3%
Family does not agree to Case Management	257	0.6%
Unable to contact family	4,408	9.5%
Family does not agree to move to HQS Compliant Unit	204	0.4%
Family does not agree to move to unit with landlord	526	1.1%
Other	185	0.4%
Placed in Permanent Housing	1,769	3.8%
Refused Disaster Assistance	26,317	56.9%
REO Settlement	6	0.0%
Return Home	1,989	4.3%
Termination of Assistance for Violation of Family Obligation	5,750	12.4%
<b>TOTAL CASES (Heads of Household)</b>	<b>51,670</b>	

1 ETO System data in this report was created from a re-fresh of data in the DIS system as of 01/06/2011. The ETO data is a reflection of data as of 01/10/2011.

2 Includes all active heads of household assigned to a case manager and any other family members that are 18 years or older who currently receive case management services, defined as having completed an initial DHAP-Ike Needs Assessment.

3 Closed Cases are shown for Heads of Household previously assigned to a specific PHA or never assigned.

**DHAP-Ike CASE MANAGEMENT - (Caseload and Demographics)**

**Efforts to Outcomes (ETO) Data as of 01/11/2011**

<b>ASSESSMENTS, IDPs, and REFERRALS - Active and EOP'd Heads of Households<sup>1</sup></b>				
Families with an Initial Assessment			<b>17,559</b>	
Families Re-Assessed			<b>13,971</b>	
Total Assessments Completed (includes all re-assessments for a given head of household)			<b>72,304</b>	
IDPs established			<b>17,590</b>	
<i>IDPs Completed</i>			<b>2,802</b>	
Families Referred To Services (at least one service referral for a Head of Household)			<b>14,049</b>	
<i>Total Service Referrals Made (includes all service referrals for a given HOH)<sup>2</sup></i>			<b>206,666</b>	
<b>KEY INDICATORS - Active Heads of Households with a completed Needs Assessment<sup>3</sup></b>				
<b>Initial and Current Needs Assessment</b>	<b>Initial N= 5,403</b>		<b>Current N= 5,403</b>	
Has high school diploma or GED	<b>2,957</b>	<b>54.7%</b>	<b>3,288</b>	<b>60.9%</b>
Has professional certification	<b>186</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>117</b>	<b>2.2%</b>
Has college degree	<b>242</b>	<b>4.5%</b>	<b>351</b>	<b>6.5%</b>
Self reports to be on subsidized housing waiting list	<b>1,623</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>0.0%</b>
Has wages or benefits	<b>4,342</b>	<b>80.4%</b>	<b>4,598</b>	<b>85.1%</b>
<i>Employed</i>	<b>1,302</b>	<b>30.0%</b>	<b>2,080</b>	<b>38.5%</b>
<i>Elderly but not disabled</i>	<b>250</b>	<b>5.8%</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>4.3%</b>
<i>Disabled but not elderly (self reported disability)</i>	<b>929</b>	<b>21.4%</b>	<b>1,209</b>	<b>22.4%</b>
<i>Elderly and disabled (self reported disability)</i>	<b>230</b>	<b>5.3%</b>	<b>275</b>	<b>5.1%</b>
<i>Has high school diploma or GED</i>	<b>2,386</b>	<b>55.0%</b>	<b>2,794</b>	<b>51.7%</b>
<i>Has professional certification</i>	<b>146</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>1.9%</b>
<i>Has college degree</i>	<b>193</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>5.6%</b>
No wages or benefits	<b>1,061</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>805</b>	<b>14.9%</b>
<i>Able to work</i>	<b>678</b>	<b>63.9%</b>	<b>280</b>	<b>34.8%</b>
<i>Looking for employment</i>	<b>620</b>	<b>58.4%</b>	<b>411</b>	<b>51.1%</b>
<i>Needs additional skills or training for employment</i>	<b>36</b>	<b>3.4%</b>	<b>33</b>	<b>4.1%</b>
<i>Elderly but not disabled</i>	<b>25</b>	<b>2.4%</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>1.2%</b>
<i>Disabled but not elderly (self reported disability)</i>	<b>113</b>	<b>10.7%</b>	<b>112</b>	<b>13.9%</b>
<i>Elderly and disabled (self reported disability)</i>	<b>13</b>	<b>1.2%</b>	<b>4</b>	<b>0.5%</b>
<i>Has high school diploma or GED</i>	<b>571</b>	<b>53.8%</b>	<b>494</b>	<b>61.4%</b>
<i>Has professional certification</i>	<b>40</b>	<b>3.8%</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>2.1%</b>
<i>Has college degree</i>	<b>49</b>	<b>4.6%</b>	<b>49</b>	<b>6.1%</b>
<b>Current Risk Level<sup>4</sup></b>		<b>N = 5,403</b>	<b>%</b>	<b>Median</b>
Tier 4 - Highest risk level		<b>2,899</b>	<b>53.7%</b>	<b>\$600.00</b>
Tier 3		<b>1,061</b>	<b>19.6%</b>	<b>\$730.00</b>
Tier 2		<b>236</b>	<b>4.4%</b>	<b>\$900.00</b>
Tier 1 - Lowest risk level		<b>1,207</b>	<b>22.3%</b>	<b>\$1,100.00</b>

1 Total number of needs assessments, risk assessments and IDPs reported across all heads of households.

2 This referral number represents efforts made by case managers to refer clients to a specific agency for a particular identified need. Multiple referral efforts documented to the same agency for the same reason are included. The distinct number of referrals to an agency for a given need identified is 124,809.

3 Key indicators are reported for families that have participated in the DHAP program. Data from families that have been EOP'd is not included.

4 Individuals with one or more Primary Risks, such as no income, are triaged as Tier 4 (these heads of household may be eligible for hardship waivers). Individuals with lower priority risks are categorized as having a lower overall level of service needs. The triage determination is based on a DHAP-Ike Assessment performed by the case manager.

Active DHAP-Ike Families  
Based on Income Reported in the DHAP-Ike Case Management Needs Assessment for Active Families\*  
1/11/2011

Heads of Household	Total
Total Assigned to a specific PHA	5,419
Closed Cases	46,249
DRSCs Executed	5,388
Initial Needs Assessments Completed (currently active only)	5,403

Population Characteristics*	Total	% of Active Participants	% of DRSCs
Elderly (based on Date of Birth)	519	9.6%	9.6%
Disabled (based on self-report in the DHAP-Ike Needs Assessment)	1,596	29.5%	29.6%
<i>Elderly disabled (=&gt;62)</i>	275	5.1%	5.1%
<i>Non-elderly disabled (&lt;62)</i>	1,321	24.4%	24.5%
IRT Exemptions	5,156	95.1%	95.4%

**Change in Families with Assessments from Previous Report**

Active assessed families in previous report	5,401
Assessed families from previous report now EOP'd/inactive*	0
Newly assessed/EOP reversed families active in this report	2
<b>Total active assessed families in this report</b>	<b>5,403</b>
Change in number of assessments from previous report	2
Number of re-assessments completed since previous report	443

\*Clients listed may fall into multiple categories (a disabled head of household may also be elderly, and have an IRT waiver)

\*The number of active assessed families from the previous report that are no longer active in this report may be greater than the change in active families, because the change in active families includes EOPs and reversals of EOPs.

**Annual Median Income for DHAP Populations**

All Active DHAP-Ike Participants	Number of Families	Assessments	Families with No Income	IRTs Exemptions	50% and below (≤50%)	Above 50% (>50%)	Cannot Match Address to AMI Table	30% and below (<=30%)	30%-50% (>30% to <= 50%)	50%-80% (>50% to <= 80%)	Above 80% (>80%)	Cannot Match Address to AMI Table
Currently Active DHAP Families	5,419	5,403	805	5,156	5,304	44	55	5,004	300	38	6	55
Change from Previous Report	0	2	-2	0	3	-1	0	1	2	0	-1	0

  

Elderly	Number of Elderly	Assessments	Elderly Families with No Income	IRTs Exemptions	50% and below (≤50%)	Above 50% (>50%)	Cannot Match Address to AMI Table	30% and below (<=30%)	30%-50% (>30% to <= 50%)	50%-80% (>50% to <= 80%)	Above 80% (>80%)	Cannot Match Address to AMI Table
Currently Active Elderly DHAP Heads of Household	519	518	14	503	508	3	7	486	22	2	1	7
Change from Previous Report	0	1	0	0	2	-1	0	0	2	0	-1	0

  

Active DHAP Participants Self Reporting Disability	Number of Disabled	Assessments	Disabled Families with No Income	IRTs Exemptions	50% and below (≤50%)	Above 50% (>50%)	Cannot Match Address to AMI Table	30% and below (<=30%)	30%-50% (>30% to <= 50%)	50%-80% (>50% to <= 80%)	Above 80% (>80%)	Cannot Match Address to AMI Table
Currently Active Disabled DHAP Heads of Household	1,596	1,596	116	932	1,578	3	15	1,530	48	3	0	15
Change from Previous Report	2	2	-5	3	2	0	0	-2	4	0	0	0

  

Active DHAP-Ike Participants with IRTs	IRTs	Assessed Families with IRTs	Families with No Income	50% and below (≤50%)	Above 50% (>50%)	Cannot Match Address to AMI Table	30% and below (<=30%)	30%-50% (>30% to <= 50%)	50%-80% (>50% to <= 80%)	Above 80% (>80%)	Cannot Match Address to AMI Table
Currently Active DHAP Heads of Household	5,156	5,149	777	5,104	18	27	4,873	231	17	1	27
Change from Previous Report	0	2	-1	3	-1	0	2	1	0	-1	0